



CALIFORNIA FISH PASSAGE FORUM

Science & Data Committee Meetings

Present: Grace Adams, Gena Lasko, Anne Elston, Andrew Hampton, Mark Gard, Emily Siegel, Adrienne Chenette

Absent: Sandi Jacobson, Marisa Parish Hanson, Van Hare, Tim Loux, Ted Masters

Meeting attendance: [Meeting Attendance \(Google Sheets\)](#)

6/4/25 Agenda

- Continued planning for review/rebuild of Survey 123
- Prioritization process update/next steps
- Selecting new recurring meeting time
- Schedule/choose a project presentation for next meeting
- Future agenda items
- (If time allows) Rapid Assessment Form Updates based on SRF Workshop Feedback

6/4/25 Meeting Minutes:

Continued planning for review/rebuild of Survey 123

No update yet, Van is working on a proposal for what that would look like. The first step is to finalize the Rapid Assessment Form, but Anne needs to follow up with some folks who provided additional feedback at the workshop

Next Steps:

- Anne to reach out to Grace to make connections with workshop participants.
- Grace to reach out to Dana to get any feedback from SRF and forward applicable feedback to Anne.

Prioritization process update/next steps

Adrienne is waiting to hear back from Andrew and then she will plan an internal testing session with Forum members. She is currently thinking about how to best structure a live testing session to make sure it is productive.

Q: Has the issue with the San Lorenzo been fixed? (Model showed San Lorenzo as an anadromous stream, but that is incorrect).

Adrienne and Anne to connect afterwards to discuss further.

Adrienne shared that the overall dashboard is under SARPs purview, so anything flagged goes to Kat. Adrienne is hoping that the license will be transferred to American Rivers during the next round of funding so she can manage inquiries/error reports.

Next Steps

Science and Data Committee Meeting Agendas- Working Document- California Fish Passage Forum

- Adrienne to give a brief presentation during the signatory update agenda item at the June Steering Committee meeting.
 - o Report to include details on the criteria and processes.
- Report explaining the model will be finalized and then shared with the Steering Committee for review during the S&D Committee meeting in August or September. Report review is preferred by the S&D Committee members, but optional for rest of Steering Committee members.

Selecting a new recurring meeting time

Grace will set up a poll and will send out to the group along with the meeting notes.

Fill out When2Meet here: <https://www.when2meet.com/?30980136-VF1U1>

Schedule/choose a project presentation for next meeting

The group reviewed the spreadsheet of Forum funded projects and selected 5 project sponsors to reach out to over the next few months (see below). Grace will reach out to the first two to see if they are able to give a 15–20-minute presentation at the July or September S&D Committee meeting. Once project sponsors are confirmed, Grace will invite the rest of the Steering Committee to attend.

Project	Status	Organization	Sponsor	Email
Mid-Klamath Tributary Fish Passage Improvement Project	Complete	Salmon River Restoration Council	Sophie Price	fisheries@srrc.org
Lower Stotenburg Creek Fish Passage Project	Active	Smith River Alliance	Monica Scholey	monica@smithriveralliance.org
Long Creek Fish Screen, Sycan Marsh Preserve	Not started	Trout Unlimited	Charles Erdman	cerdman@tu.org
Mid Klamath Creek Mouth Enhancement Project	Complete	Mid Klamath Watershed Council	James Peterson	jimmy@mkwc.org
Ross Valley Sanitary District Shady Lane Abandoned Sewer and Barrier Removal Project	Complete	Ross Valley Sanitary District	Steve Moore	smoore@rvsd.org

It was noted that outside of S&D Committee project presentations, we could ask project sponsors to do a longer presentation (30-40 minutes) over lunchtime (brown bag option).

Q: Why are we having presentations at the S&D committee vs Steering Committee?

The idea of having presentations at the S&D Committee vs the Steering Committee was due to meeting frequency → S&D Committee meets more frequently.

The committee discussed how to expand outreach for these presentations, possibly to the RCDs. It was noted that this is a possibility, but we would want to make sure project sponsors were okay with opening up project presentations to the public. There are some logistics that would also need to be considered if this idea was pursued.

New signatories

Science and Data Committee Meeting Agendas- Working Document- California Fish Passage Forum

In thinking about new signatories, The Nature Conservancy (TNC) was noted as an organization that the Forum hasn't connected with. They also helped fund work on Battle Creek. Holly previously reached out to S&D and Governance Committees to ask about individuals/organizations that could serve as a signatory. Anne has a contact for TNC in California, but she is not sure if they would be the right person.

Anne to reach out to her TNC contact to ask if they are interested in joining the Forum or if they have a better person in mind.

Future agenda items

- Rapid Assessment Forum and Survey 123 (July)
- Project sponsor presentations (July, September)
- 2026 workplan (July)
- Prioritization process updates (August)
- PAD updates (September)

Gena reminded the group to always feel free to reach out with any additional agenda items.